COURSE TEACHERS FEEDBACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER	
DESIGNATION	
DEPARTMENT	

:	R. THILAKAVATH 1
:	Asse- Professoo
:	Computer Surre

and a splittering to

W. A. C. M. M.

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a 5 points scale is given. The 5 points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SDA)

Second and a lot of the	CALCHLORIS	A PROVISION				
1	Do you think that the duration allotted for the	SA /6	1/1/0	N965	DA%	SDA%
	10 bulliolone.		1			
2	How do you rate about the point that the course	+				
	gives chough in-depth knowledge to the student of		1	-		
3	They do you fall about the continuity of the	1-				
4	What will be volie scale when it comes to it					
	distribution of the contents of the course?					
5	How do you rate about the chance of ambiguity in					
	the courses?		1			
6	How do you concur about the point whether					
	application oriented concepts are included in the					Manager 1997
	course					
7	How do you agree about the employability					
	weightage in the syllabi for the students					
8	How do you rate about the 1100					
	How do you rate about the difference in course					
9	content from parent university syllabus			1		
-	How do you concur about the course activities					
	(presentation, assignments, test) and their usefulness to the students			e		
	to the students					
	How do you rate about the Credit weightage					
	assigned to the course?		//			
	How do you rate about the helpfulness of the course					
	materials to the students?		1			
12	What will be your rating about the focus on the					
	research concepts in the course?	-				•
SA-Str	ongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral DA-Disagree, SDA					

*SA- Strongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA-Disagree, SDA- Strongly Disagree

Any other suggestions:,-

We are Ka Introduced how tech Mobile Application relopment Dollard

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY MEMBER

SIGNATURE OF THE HOD



Principal PARK'S COLLEGE (Autonomous) CHINNAKKARAI. TIRUPUR-641 605

COURSE TEACHERS FEEDBACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER DESIGNATION DEPARTMENT

: M. Madhangin : Assistant. professor : Management (ug)

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a 5 points scale is given. The 5 points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SDA)

SI. No.						
1	Do you think that the duration is	SA%	A%	N%	DA%	CDAR
	Do you think that the duration allotted for the course is sufficient?	e				SDA%
2	How do you rate about it					
	How do you rate about the point that the course gives enough in donth has a set of the s				1	
3	gives enough in-depth knowledge to the students?					
4	How do you rate about the continuity of the courses? What will be your each a l	2	V			
	The will be will scale when it comes to it					
5		1				
	How do you rate about the chance of ambiguity in the courses?					
6	the courses!	1				
U	How do you concur about the point whether	1				
	application oriented concepts are included in the course			1	·	
7						
	How do you agree about the employability	1	†+			
8	weightage in the syllabi for the students	V				
	How do you rate about the difference in course					
9	content from parent university syllabus					
-	How do you concur about the course activities					
	(presentation, assignments, test) and their usefulness to the students					
10	students	~				
1	How do you rate about the Credit weightage assigned to the course?					
11	How do you rete al				1	
1	How do you rate about the helpfulness of the course materials to the students?					
			\checkmark			
	What will be your rating about the focus on the					
	research concepts in the course? ongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral DA Discourse (DD)	~				
VII DU	Ungry Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral DA Dias					

*SA- Strongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA-Disagree, SDA- Strongly Disagree

delaundocia.

Any other suggestions: -

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY MEMBER

PDATIala

SIGNATURE OF THE HOD

the Students .

Principal PARK'S COLLEGE (Autonomous) CHINNAKKARAI. TIRUPUR-641 605

LLEGE 140 INNAKKO UR - 641 60

COURSE TEACHERS FEEDBACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER Prof. E. SATHISHKUMAR : DESIGNATION : ASSE Prot DEPARTMENT

school of science

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a 5 points scale is given. The 5 points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SDA) SI No

SE NO		a per para a series a				
1	Do you think that the duration allotted for the course	SA%	A%	N%	DA%	SDA%
	is sufficient?					SDA /0
2						
	How do you rate about the point that the course					
3	gives enough in-depth knowledge to the students?	11				
4	110W do you rate about the continuity of the					
4	When it comes to the					
	and toution of the course?	V				
5	now do you rate about the chance of ambiguity in					
	the courses?					
6	How do you concur about the point whether	V				
	application oriented concepts are included in the					
	course		V			
7	How do you agree about the employability					
	weightage in the syllabi for the students					
8	How do you rate shout the 1100					
	How do you rate about the difference in course					
9	content from parent university syllabus		~			
,	How do you concur about the course activities					
	(presentation, assignments test) and their use f 1	. /				
10	to the students	-				
10	How do you rate about the Credit weightage					
11	assigned to the course?	VT				
11	How do you rate about the helpfulness of the course					
	materials to the students?					
12	What will be your rating about the focus on the					
	research concepts in the course?					
*SA-Stu	rongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral DA Discourse OD t	ŀ				

Strongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA-Disagree, SDA- Strongly Disagree

Any other suggestions: -

CHINNAKKAS

P. 641 60

are satisfied with vew courses up add HTML 5 and CSS 3 It is 1°ice updelte the Studen to develop effective upp spice SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY MEMBER SIGNATURE OF THE HOD 8 OLLEGE

ncipal PARK'S COLLEGE (Autonomous) CHINNAKKARAM THRUPUR.641 600

COURSE TEACHERS FEEDBACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER DESIGNATION DEPARTMENT

K. PONNUSAMY AHLSTANT PROFESSOR CDEF

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. gainst each statement a 5 points scale is given. The 5 points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), (eutral (N), Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SDA)

IS INO.	FACTORS	CAR	1		1	
1	Do you think that the duration allotted for the course	SA %	A%	N%	DA%	SDA%
	is sufficient?	V				
2	How do you rate about the point that the course					
er Ri	gives enough in donth h	1./				
3	gives enough in-depth knowledge to the students?	67				
4	How do you rate about the continuity of the courses?		~			
4	what will be your scale when it comes to the over		N			
5	distribution of the contents of the course?					
3	How do you rate about the chance of ambiguity in			- 1		
	the courses?			V		
6	How do you concur about the point whether					
	application oriented concepts are included in the	. 1				
	course	V				
7	How do you agree about the employability					
	weightage in the syllabi for the students	0				
8	How do you rate about the difference in course					
	content from parent university syllabus		1			
9	How do you concur about the course activities		0			
	(presentation, assignments, test) and their usefulness		/			
	to the students		V			
10			(
	How do you rate about the Credit weightage assigned to the course?		J			
11	How do you met al will be a second					
••	How do you rate about the helpfulness of the course		/			
12	materials to the students?					
	What will be your rating about the focus on the		1			
*04 0	research concepts in the course?			1		
-SA-Sti	rongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA Discours, SDA					i i

*SA- Strongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA-Disagree, SDA- Strongly Disagree

Any other suggestions: -

stions: -The course gives enough in-depth browledge and has employability weightage.

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY MEMBER



SIGNATURE OF THE HOD

Principal PARK'S COLLEGE (Autonomous) CHINNAKKARAI. TIRUPUR-641 605

COURSE TEACHERS FEEDBACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER DESIGNATION DEPARTMENT

ta nuci asson

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a 5 points scale is given. The 5 points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SDA)

DI. INO.		SA%	2.07	A TO PARTY		
1	Do you think that the duration allotted for the course is sufficient?	BA70	A%	N%	DA%	SDA%
2	How do you rate about the point that the course gives enough in-depth knowledge to the students?	1.1				
3	How do you rate about the continuity of the courses?					
4	What will be your scale when it comes to the even distribution of the contents of the course?		7			
5	How do you rate about the chance of ambiguity in the course?					
6	How do you concur about the point whether application oriented concepts are included in the course					
7	How do you agree about the employability weightage in the syllabi for the students					
0	How do you rate about the difference in course content from parent university syllabus	-				
,	How do you concur about the course activities (presentation, assignments, test) and their usefulness to the students	~				
10	How do you rate about the Credit weightage assigned to the course?					
11]]	How do you rate about the helpfulness of the course materials to the students?					
12	What will be your rating about the focus on the					

*SA- Strongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA-Disagree, SDA- Strongly Disagree

Any other suggestions: -

Course actorities and the course verghtage add value to this course.

ATURE OF THE FACULTY MEMBER SIGN

ULLEUE

641 605

SIGNATURE OF THE HOD

PARK'S COLLEGE (Autonomous)

CHINNAKKARAI. TIRUPUR-641 605

COURSE TEACHERS FEEDBACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER DESIGNATION DEPARTMENT

: Dr. D. BALAMURUGAN : Associate Professor

: MBA

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a 5 points scale is given. The 5 points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SDA)

DI. NO.	FACTORS					
1	Do you think that the during it	SA%	A%	N%	DA%	SDA%
	Do you think that the duration allotted for the course is sufficient?	100		- AND	SALL /Op	SUA /0
2	is sufficient?	1				
2	How do you rate about the point that the course	1	1			
	gives enough in-depth knowledge to the studented	\bigvee				
3	110w do you rate about the continuity of the course of					
4	what will be vour scale when it comes to the					
	usurbution of the contents of the course?		1			
5	How do you rate about the chance of ambiguity in the course?					
	the courses?					
6	How do you concur about the point whether					
	application oriented concepts are included in the					
	course					
7						
-	How do you agree about the employability	1				
8	weightage in the syllabil for the students	~				
Ŭ	How do you rate about the difference in course		1			
9	content from parent university syllabus		\sim			
,	How do you concur about the course activities					
	(presentation, assignments, test) and their useful and	\checkmark				
10	to the students					
10	How do you rate about the Credit weightage					
	assigned to the course?	\checkmark				
11	How do you rate about the helpfulness of the course					
	materials to the students?	\checkmark	1			
12	What will be your rating about the focus on the					
	research concepts in the course?	\checkmark				· ·
*SA-Str	rongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral DA Discourse CDA					

*SA- Strongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA-Disagree, SDA- Strongly Disagree

Any other suggestions: -

Therease 61 etine and Fourth commetter, Pupers 10

SIGNĂTURE OF THE FACULTY MEMBER

SIGNATURE OF THE HOD

Principal

PARK'S COLLEGE (Autonomeus) CHINNAKKARAI. TIRUPUR-641 605

LEGE R - 641 60

COURSE TEACHERS FEEDBACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023

NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER DESIGNATION DEPARTMENT

: Dr. N. Pasupathi : Associate Priotessor : Management

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a 5 points scale is given. The 5 points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SDA)

St. 110.	FACTORS	-	Service and the service of the	E der 144 Administration and the		
1	Do you think that the duration allotted for the course is sufficient?	SA%	A%	N%	DA%	SDA%
2						
2	How do you rate about the point that the course	1	+		+	
	gives chough in-depth knowledge to the start of					t
3	110W do you rate about the continuity of the					
4	When it comes to the					
	ustribution of the course?	V				
5	How do you rate about the chance of ambiguity in					
	the courses?	1	-			
6	How do you concur about the point whether	~				
	application oriented concepts are included in the		ŀ ŀ			
-	course	\checkmark				1
7	How do you agree about the employability					
	weightage in the syllabi for the students					
8	How do you rate about the difference in course					
	content from parent university syllabus					
9	How do you concur about the course activities	\checkmark				
	(presentation assignments tout)					
	(presentation, assignments, test) and their usefulness to the students		VI			
10	How do you rate about the Credit weightage					
	assigned to the course?	./				
11	How do you retain the state of the	V				
1	How do you rate about the helpfulness of the course	1				
	materials to the students?	~				
1	What will be your rating about the focus on the					
I.	research concepts in the course?		~			
DH- DI	ongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral DA Discorres ODA					

*SA- Strongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA-Disagree, SDA- Strongly Disagree

ustr.

Mich

Any other suggestions: -

Nood

SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY MEMBER

SIGNATURE OF THE HOD

Principal PARK'S COLLEGE (Autonomous) CHINNAKKARAI. TIRUPUR-641 605.

LEGE MANAKK R - 641 6

COURSE TEACHERS FEEDBACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

	ADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023
NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER	: Plof. S.DE. Kalaivani : Asst. professor : School of Science

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a 5 points scale is given. The 5 points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SDA) SI NA

DIANO	JACTORS	SAT SARA IN THE	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1			
1	Do you think that the duration allotted for the	SA %	1.1.16	N9/65	DA%	SDA%
2	10 Sufficient?					•
2	How do you rate about the point that the course			1.		
3	_ gives chough in-depth knowledge to the student of					
4	110 W do you fale apoint the continuity of the	,	+			
4	What will be vour scale when it comes to it		12			
5	ustribution of the contents of the course?	11/				
5	now do you rate about the chance of ambiguity in					
	the courses?		~			
6	How do you concur about the point whether	+				_
	application oriented concepts are included in the		·			
7	course	V				
7	How do you agree about the employability	+				
	weightage in the syllabil for the students		0			
8	now do you rate about the difference in accurate					
	Content from parent university syllabus	1 CM				
9	now do you concur about the course activities					
	(presentation, assignments, test) and their usoful and					
	to the students		V			
10	How do you rate about the Credit weightage					
	assigned to the course?	\checkmark				
11	How do you rate about the helpfulness of the course					
	materials to the students?		V			
12	What will be your rating about the focus on the					
	research concepts in the course?	V				•
*SA- St	rongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral DA-Disagree SDA			-		

ngly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA-Disagree, SDA- Strongly Disagree

Any other suggestions: -

We are Satisfied cosith in lodged courses no. 5 Increase Practical based accining it Shidents for SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY MEMBER SIGNATURE OF THE HOD



Principa PARK'S COLLEGE (Automous)

CHINNAKKARAI. TIRUPUR-641 505

COURSE TEACHERS FEEDBACK FORM ABOUT SYLLABUS

NAME OF THE FACULTY MEMBER DESIGNATION DEPARTMENT

ALC: NA

ACADEMIC YEAR 2022-2023 Sentitai ama SSistan : Engl

Note: your valuable opinions and suggestions are solicited for further improvement of the syllabi. Against each statement a 5 points scale is given. The 5 points are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Neutral (N), Disagree (DA) and Strongly Disagree (SDA)

SI. No		SAVA	-	ANO LA	DA%	AN AVENUE
1	Do you think that the duration allotted for the course is sufficient?			A STAN	JA /or	- <u>SUA /o</u>
2	How do you rate about the point that the course gives enough in-depth knowledge to the students?	1			•	
3	How do you rate about the continuity of the courses?					
4	What will be your scale when it comes to the even distribution of the contents of the course?		1	/		
5	How do you rate about the chance of ambiguity in the courses?		. /	1		<u> </u>
6	How do you concur about the point whether application oriented concepts are included in the course					
7	How do you agree about the employability weightage in the syllabi for the students		1.			
8	How do you rate about the difference in course content from parent university syllabus					
9	How do you concur about the course activities (presentation, assignments, test) and their usefulness to the students		1			
10	How do you rate about the Credit weightage assigned to the course?	- /				
11	How do you rate about the helpfulness of the course materials to the students?	1				
12	What will be your rating about the focus on the research concepts in the course?	1				

*SA- Strongly Agree, A-Agree, N-Neutral, DA-Disagree, SDA- Strongly Disagree

Any other suggestions: -

nood Oct CU'A Chrumber Sec ses eno SIGNATURE OF THE FACULTY MEMBER SIGNATURE OF THE HOD



Principal PARK'S COLLEGE (Autonomous) CHINNAKKARAI. THRUPUR-641 605